



UNITED STATE DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE **Patent and Trademark Office**

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS,

Washington, D.C. 20231

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR			ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.
09/300,856	04/28/99	BROWN		S	RYA-129/DIV /S
			一	EXAMINER	
025315 BLACK LOWE	& GRAHAM	QM32/0618		ASTORIN	li"i M
816 SECOND				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
SEATTLE WA	98104			3736 DATE MAILED:	#15
				•	06/18/01

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
Office Action Summary	09/300,856	BROWN, STEPHEN J.					
ome notion cummary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Michael C Astorino	3736					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the co	rrespondence address					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL' THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a repl - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period of Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status	36 (a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin y within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from b, cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely, the mailing date of this communication. C (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 04 /	<u> April 2001</u> .						
2a)⊠ This action is FINAL . 2b)☐ Th	nis action is non-final.						
	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims							
4) Claim(s) 1.2,4-6 and 8-12 is/are pending in th	e application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra	wn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1,2,4-6 and 8-12</u> is/are rejected.							
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.	Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claims are subject to restriction and/o	r election requirement.						
Application Papers							
9) The specification is objected to by the Examin	er.						
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are objected to by the Examiner.							
11) ☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) ☐ approved b) ☐ disapproved.							
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.							
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).							
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:							
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.							
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No							
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).							
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.							
14) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e).							
Attachment(s)							
 15) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 16) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 17) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 	19) Notice of Informal	ry (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Patent Application (PTO-152)					

Application/Control Number: 09/300,856

Art Unit: 3736

DETAILED ACTION

The examiner acknowledges the reconsideration response filed 04/04/2001.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or on an international application by another who has fulfilled the requirements of paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of section 371© of this title before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent.

Claims 1-2, 4-6, 8-12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being clearly anticipated by Braun et al. ('940).

Braun et al. discloses a system for communicating custom information to an individual including messages and queries, comprising a remote computer workstation (column 4, lines 47-56; and column 5, lines 39-51) located away from individuals residence to communicate information to an individual (column 14-15, lines 60-37), a server connected to remote device generating a script program, a remotely programmable apparatus (columns 4-5, lines 52-54) in a residence networked to said server via a communication network (column 4), a modem (column 5, lines 39-51) for receiving said script program from said server, memory device for storing said script program, user interface configured for conveying the information and for receiving input from the individual, and processor device for executing said script program, said processor device connected to said communication device and to said memory device, and a and

Application/Control Number: 09/300,856

Art Unit: 3736

measurement device providing at least one physiological parameter including blood pressure (columns 4-5).

Response to Arguments

Applicant's reconsideration response and arguments have been fully considered but is not persuasive. The applicant submitted that Braun et al. fails to disclose a (1) a remote computer workstation (2) a server connected to the remote computer workstation (3) a remotely programmable apparatus networked to the server via a second communication network. The examiner disagrees with the applicant. First Braun et al. ('940) specifically discloses a remote computer workstation (column 4, lines 47-56; and column 5, lines 39-51). Secondly although the specification never specifically states a server is used it is inherent when using TCP/IP protocol (column 5, lines 39-51) a server is needed. Lastly in Figures 1, 2A, 2B and 10 we see the remotely programmable apparatus networked to the server via a second communication network.

Conclusion

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event,

Art Unit: 3736

however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Michael Astorino whose telephone number is (703) 306-9067.

M. Astorino

06/13/01

ERIC F. WINAKUR PRIMARY EXAMINED